1	BARBARA J. NELSON ANDREW S. HUANG				
2					
3	Antitrust Division U.S. Department of Justice				
4	450 Golden Gate Avenue Box 36046, Room 10-0101				
5	San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-6660				
6	Attorneys for the United States				
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	No. CR 99 -20248 JF			
12					
13	v.	INFORMATION			
14		VIOLATION:			
15	DYWIDAG-SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL USA, INC., and	Title 15, United States Code, Section 1 (Price Fixing)			
16	ADAM S. ALLAN,) San Jose Venue			
17	Defendants.))			
18		Filed: December 15, 1999			
19	The United States of America, acting through its attorneys, charges:				
20	COUNT ONE				
21	I.				
22	DESCRIPTION OF THE OFFENSE				
23	1. ADAM S. ALLAN and DYWIDAG-SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL USA,				
24					
25	INC. ("DSI") are made defendants on the charge stated below.2. Beginning in or about December 1994 and continuing until in or about				
26	2. Zegiming in or about December 1001 (and sometiments when in or about			
	INFORMATION Page 1				

1	June 1996, t	he exact dates being unknown to the United States, the defendants and co-	
2	conspirators participated in a combination and conspiracy in unreasonable restraint of		
3	interstate trade and commerce in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act (15 U.S.C. §		
4	1). The charged combination and conspiracy consisted of a continuing agreement,		
5	understanding, and concert of action among the defendant and co-conspirators, the		
6	substantial terms of which were to fix and maintain prices and divide the market		
7	through allocating market shares for certain post-tensioning bridge projects in		
8	California.		
9	3.	For the purpose of forming and carrying out the charged combination and	
10	conspiracy, t	the defendant and co-conspirators did those things that they combined and	
11	conspired to do, including, among other things:		
12	(a)	participating in conversations to discuss post-tensioning bridge projects in	
13		California;	
14	(b)	agreeing, during those conversations, to allocate market shares among the	
15		co-conspirators, including allocating a market share to defendant DSI;	

(c) issuing bids and price quotations in accordance with the agreements reached; and

(d) exchanging information on sales of post-tensioning on bridge projects in California, for the purpose of monitoring and enforcing adherence to the agreed-upon market shares.

II.

DEFENDANT AND CO-CONSPIRATORS

- 4. ALLAN is a resident of the United States and citizen of Canada. During the period covered by this Information, ALLAN was the Western Division Manager of DSI, stationed in Long Beach, California.
- 5. DSI is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of INFORMATION -- Page 2

- 6. Various corporations and individuals, not made defendants in this Information, participated as co-conspirators in the offense charged herein and performed acts and made statements in furtherance of it.
- 7. Whenever in this Information reference is made to any act, deed, or transaction of any corporation, the allegation means that the corporation engaged in the act, deed, or transaction by or through its officers, directors, employees, agents, or other representatives while they were actively engaged in the management, direction, control, or transaction of its business or affairs.

III.

TRADE AND COMMERCE

- 8. Post tensioning is a specialty construction system used to reinforce concrete used in bridges or other structures.
- 9. During the period covered by this Information, the defendants and co-conspirators purchased, sold and distributed post-tensioning materials and supplies in a continuous and uninterrupted flow of interstate commerce from the states of manufacture to customers located in California. In addition, some of the post-tensioning bridge projects allocated by defendants and co-conspirators pursuant to the market division scheme were part of the interstate highway system and paid for by federal monies.
- 10. The business activities of the defendants and co-conspirators that are the subject of Count One of this Information were within the flow of, and substantially 26 affected, interstate trade and commerce.

INFORMATION -- Page 3

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

14

15

17

20

23

24

1 2 3 IV. JURISDICTION AND VENUE 4 5 11. The combination and conspiracy charged in Count One of this Information was carried out, in part, in the Northern District of California, within the five years 6 7 preceding the filing of this Information. 8 ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 15 UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1. 10 11 **COUNT TWO** The United States of America further charges: 13 12. Paragraphs 5 through 7 of Count One of this Information are repeated, realleged and incorporated in Count Two as if fully set forth in this Count. I. 15 16 DESCRIPTION OF THE OFFENSE 13. 17 DYWIDAG-SYSTEMS INTERNATIONAL USA, INC. ("DSI") is made a defendant on the charge stated below. 18 19 14. Beginning in or about June 1996 and continuing until in or about 20 September 1997, the exact dates being unknown to the United States, the defendant 21 and co-conspirators participated in a combination and conspiracy in unreasonable 22 restraint of interstate trade and commerce in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act (15 U.S.C. § 1). The charged combination and conspiracy consisted of a continuing agreement, understanding, and concert of action among the defendant and coconspirators, the substantial terms of which were to rig bids and allocate contracts for 26 the sale of materials and supplies used in construction of cable-stayed bridges in the INFORMATION -- Page 4

United States. 15. F

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

18

20

21

22

23

- 15. For the purpose of forming and carrying out the charged combination and conspiracy, the defendant and co-conspirators did those things that they combined and conspired to do, including, among other things:
 - (a) participating in conversations to discuss upcoming cable-stayed bridge projects in the United States;
 - (b) agreeing, during one such conversation, to allocate several upcoming cable-stayed bridge projects in the United States among the defendant and co-conspirators; and
 - (c) submitting artificially high, non-competitive bids in accordance with the agreements reached.

II.

TRADE AND COMMERCE

- 16. Cable-stayed bridges are built using a form of specialty construction. The deck of a cable-stayed bridge is suspended from cables attached to load-bearing towers.
- 17. During the period covered by this Information, the defendant and co-conspirators manufactured, sold and distributed materials and supplies used in the construction of cable-stayed bridges in a continuous and uninterrupted flow of interstate commerce from the states of manufacture to customers located in other states. In addition, some of the cable-stayed bridge projects allocated by defendant and co-conspirators pursuant to the charged scheme were part of the interstate highway system and paid for by federal monies.
- 18. The business activities of the defendant and co-conspirators that are the subject of Count Two of this Information were within the flow of, and substantially affected, interstate trade and commerce.

INFORMATION -- Page 5

26

1	1 //		
2	2 //		
3	III.		
4	4 <u>JURISDICTIO</u>	<u>JURISDICTION</u>	
5	19. The combination and conspiracy charged in Count Two of this Information		
6	was carried out in part within the five years preceding the filing of this Information.		
7	7		
8	ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 15, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1.		
9	9		
10	0 "/s/"	"/s/"	
11	1 Joel I. Klein Chris Assistant Attorney General Chief	stopher S Crook S, San Francisco Office	
12	2		
13			
14	Gary R. Spratling Jean	e Hamilton	
15	Andr	ara J. Nelson ew S. Huang	
16	Attor	neys	
17		_	
18		Department of Justice crust Division	
19	U.S. Department of Justice Box 3	Golden Gate Avenue 36046, Room 10-0101	
	(415)	Francisco, CA 94102 436-6660	
21			
22 23			
	United States Attorney		
25 26			
۷ ک	INFORMATION Page 6		
	II .		